



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on this 1st day of December, 2005.

By

(Signature of person mailing)  
Jason G. Tebbutt

(Typed or printed name of person)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

BRIAN T. O'NEILL, ET AL.

Examiner: Balasubramanian, Venkataraman

APPLICATION NO.: 09/811,218

Group Art Unit: 1624

FILING DATE: March 16, 2001

TITLE: BENZOAMIDE PIPERIDINE  
CONTAINING  
COMPOUNDS AND  
RELATED COMPOUNDS

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

COMMUNICATION IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This communication is submitted in response to the Action issued October 21, 2005 in connection with the above-identified application. A response is due January 21, 2005. Accordingly, this Amendment is being timely filed.

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-18, 20, 22, 24-27, 29-51 and 54-59 are pending in the application.

Rejection under 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner rejected claim 1 and its dependant claims 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite because the recitation in claim 1 of "A" as "CH<sub>2</sub>" in formula I is unclear.

In response, applicants respectfully point out that when "B" is absent (as defined in claim 1 in formula I), there is no ring formed by "G" and "B" as the Examiner suggests. Therefore, in the instance of "B" being absent "A" may be CH<sub>2</sub>. In fact, this embodiment is claimed in dependant claim 3.